

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/725,884 | 12/01/2003 | Jean-Claude Mayet | 5460-3 | 3354 |
| 27799 75 | 90 07/01/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE | | | KNABLE, GEOFFREY L | |
| SUITE 1210 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10176 | | | 1733 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
|--|--|---|---|
| | - | Geoffrey L. Knable | 1733 |
| | | | with the correspondence address |
| Period for R | • • | | |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IS of time may be available under the provisions of the provision | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Movill. by statute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)□ Re | esponsive to communication(s) file | d on | |
| ,— | • | b)⊠ This action is non-final. | |
| , | | <i>'</i> — | atters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| • | sed in accordance with the practic | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | • |
| • | | nlication | |
| | aim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the ap Of the above claim(s) is/ar | | |
| • | aim(s) is/are allowed. | · | |
| · | aim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| · | aim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| • | aim(s) are subject to restric | tion and/or election requirement. | |
| Application | Papers | | |
| | e specification is objected to by the | - Fxaminer | |
| ,— | e drawing(s) filed on is/are: | | to by the Examiner. |
| | plicant may not request that any object | | |
| | | | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| | | | ned Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| • | knowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority under 35 H S C | 8 119(a)-(d) or (f) |
| · · | Rhowledgment is made of a claim i All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | or loreign phonty under 33 0.3.0 | . 3 . 10(4) (4) (1). |
| · . | | documents have been received. | |
| _ | Certified copies of the priority | | Application No |
| 3.[| | | en received in this National Stage |
| ·- | | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| * See | the attached detailed Office action | n for a list of the certified copies ne | ot received. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | 🗂 | 0 |
| | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | | w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date |
| 3) 🛛 Informati | on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or | PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of | of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | o(s)/Mail Date <u>12-1-2003</u> . | 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/725,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the last line of claim 1, the reference to "an orifice" in this context is somewhat confusing. In particular, it is not clear if this requires any actual relative movement capability between the follower and the orifice (as apparently intended, it being noted in particular that the original disclosure, including the title and abstract, use the term "slot" rather than "orifice"), it being considered that the present language could be read to read on simply a rotational pin in a hole (as in the acknowledged prior art EP 1122057). It seems clear that this is not what is intended but it is suggested that this be more clearly brought out in the claim language (e.g. by referencing a "slot" as well as the fact that the cam follower is guided for sliding movement in the slot as for example in the title).

In claims 4 and 5, no antecedent has been established for "the slot" - it seems that "an orifice" in the last line of claim 1 should be "a slot" to provide the requisite antecedent.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayet (US 2002/0117265).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,884

Art Unit: 1733

Mayet '265 discloses a device for fabrication of a tire reinforcement by laying arcs of cord on a toroidal form, the device comprising a cord laying element (6), an actuation mechanism including a main arm (31 in figs. 7 and 10) and what can be termed rotationally articulated front and rear auxiliary arms (3D and 32 in figs. 7 and 10) as well as pressing elements (2D, 2G). Further, the main arm (31 in figs. 7 and 10) is rotationally mounted to arm (32) and is mounted to "arm" 3D via engagement with a slide/orifice (e.g. 33 and/or 364 in fig. 7 and 3D1 in fig. 10). The claim language is not at present considered to avoid such an interpretation. As to claim 2, note that the relative rotation can be adjusted using means 369. As to claims 4 and 5, note slot 364 in fig. 7. As to claim 6, it is considered that the support provided by the main arm "31" can be termed direct. As to claim 8, the device is operable in synchronism (e.g. paragraphs [0050] and [0066]), it being considered further that the movement capability of the support along the direction marked "P" (e.g. note paragraph [0085]) is along a direction that is "relative to a rotation axis of the form".

4. Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayet (US 2002/0023736).

Mayet '736 discloses a device for fabrication of a tire reinforcement by laying arcs of cord on a toroidal form, the device comprising a cord laying element (6), an actuation mechanism including a main arm (32 or 383 in fig. 6) and what can be termed rotationally articulated front and rear auxiliary arms (31 and 33 in fig. 6) as well as pressing elements (2D, 2G). Further, the main arm is rotationally mounted to arm (33) and it can be considered to be mounted to arm 31 by means of a cam follower 382

Art Unit: 1733

cooperating with an orifice 381 (fig. 6). The claim language is not at present considered to avoid such an interpretation. As to claim 2, the relative rotation can be adjusted by appropriate selection of the cam configuration - not esp. paragraph [0066] and [0068]. As to claim 6, it is considered that the support provided by the main arm "32" can be termed direct. As to claim 7, the element 6 is an eyelet. As to claim 8, the device is operable in synchronism - e.g. paragraphs [0056] and [0076], it being considered that the movement capability of the support along the direction marked "P" (e.g. note paragraph [0069]) is along a direction that is "relative to a rotation axis of the form".

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 571-272-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on 571-272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733 Page 4

G. Knable June 25, 2005